

POLYTYPISM OF CRONSTEDTITE FROM THE NAGYBÖRZSÖNY ORE DEPOSIT, NORTHERN HUNGARY

HYBLER, J.¹, DOLNÍČEK, Z.², SEJKORA, J.² & ŠTEVKO, M.^{2,3}

¹Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Praha, Czech Republic

²Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, National Museum, Praha, Czech Republic

³Earth Science Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia

E-mail: hybler@fzu.cz

Introduction

Nagybörzsöny is a well-known Au-Ag-Pb-Zn ore deposit in northern Hungary, exploited since the Middle ages. It is located in the Börzsöny Mountains, the part of the Neogene Intra-Carpathian Volcanic Arc. Volcanic activity occurred in Middle Badenian during two periods (Lower and Upper units), of the age of 15.2 ± 0.8 My and 14.2 ± 0.9 My, respectively. The Lower Unit was affected by hydrothermal processes in its central area and the deposit was formed during this event (KORPÁS & LANG, 1993). More than 120 minerals were described from this locality (e. g. PANTÓ & MIKÓ, 1964; SZAKÁLL *et al.*, 2012). The rare mineral cronstedtite was identified in a piece of the ore material collected in 2000 from the dump of the Alsó-Rózsa adit, about 5 km ENE from the village of Nagybörzsöny (GPS coordinates: 47.9408644°N , 18.8943714°E).

Cronstedtite, $(\text{Fe}^{2+}_{3-x}\text{Fe}^{3+}_x)(\text{Si}_{2-x}\text{Fe}^{3+}_x)\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$, where $0 < x < 0.85$ is a trioctahedral 1:1 layered silicate of the serpentine-kaoline group. It forms many polytypes by stacking equivalent structure building layers composed of octahedral and tetrahedral sheets, with trigonal protocell $a = 5.50$, $c = 7.10$ Å, layer group $P(3)1m$. Polytypes are subdivided into four OD (Ordered-disordered) subfamilies (Bailey's group A, B, C, D), representing the four possible stacking rules of layers. For the accurate determination of polytypes, the single-crystal diffraction techniques are needed – precession photographs, reciprocal space (RS) sections generated from the data collected by the single-crystal diffractometer with an area detector, and/or electron diffraction tomography (EDT) (HYBLER *et al.*, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2020).

Experimental

Single crystals of cronstedtite were selected from the sample, glued on the glass fiber, and put on the four-circle (double-wavelength) X-ray diffractometer Gemini A Ultra (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Wroclaw, Poland) equipped with the CCD area detector Atlas in the Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences. The $\text{MoK}\alpha$ radiation, with graphite monochromator, $\lambda = 0.71070$ Å, Mo-enhance fiber optics collimator were used throughout all experiments.

The RS sections $(2h\bar{h}l_{\text{hex}})^*$, $(hhl_{\text{hex}})^*$, $(\bar{h}2hl_{\text{hex}})^*$, $(h0l_{\text{hex}})^*$, $(0kl_{\text{hex}})^*$, and $(\bar{h}hl_{\text{hex}})^*$ were created by the diffractometer software and used to determine the OD subfamilies and particular polytypes. The chemical composition of some specimens was thereafter

determined by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) (HYBLER *et al.*, 2020).

Results

With one exception, all crystals studied belong entirely to the subfamily A. The rare polytype $1M$, $a = 5.51$, $b = 9.54$, $c = 7.33$ Å, $\beta = 104.5^\circ$, space group Cm is relatively abundant in the occurrence. Another polytype $3T$, $a = 5.51$, $c = 21.32$ Å, space group $P3_1$ was found, too. Both polytypes occur separately or in the mixed, mostly $1M$ dominant crystals. Some $1M$ polytype crystals are twinned by order 3 reticular merohedry with a 120° rotation along the c_{hex} axis as the twin operation. A rare $1M+3T$ mixed crystal with $1M$ part twinned contains also a small amount of the subfamily C. A possible presence of the most common $1T$ polytype of this subfamily cannot be confirmed because of overlapping of characteristic reflections with these of $3T$. Several completely disordered crystals produce diffuse streaks instead of discrete characteristic reflections on the RS sections. EPMA reveals Fe, Si, traces of Mg, Al, S and Cl. The $1M$ polytype is known from Eisleben, Germany (HYBLER, 2014), and from the synthetic run product (PIGNATELLI *et al.*, 2013).

References

- HYBLER, J. (2014): Acta Crystallographica, B70: 963–972.
- HYBLER, J., SEJKORA, J. & VENCLÍK, V. (2016): European Journal of Mineralogy, 28: 765–775.
- HYBLER, J., ŠTEVKO, M. & SEJKORA, J. (2017): European Journal of Mineralogy, 29: 91–99.
- HYBLER, J., KLEMENTOVÁ, M., JAROŠOVÁ, M., PIGNATELLI, I., MOSSER-RUCK, R. & ĎUROVIČ, S. (2018): Clays and Clay Minerals, 66: 379–402.
- HYBLER, J., DOLNÍČEK, Z., SEJKORA, J. & ŠTEVKO, M. (2020): Clays and Clay Minerals, 68: 632–645.
- KORPÁS, L. & LANG, B. (1993): Ore Geology Reviews, 8(6): 477–501.
- PANTÓ, G. & MIKÓ, I. (1964): Annals of Hungarian Geological Institute, 50(1): 1–153.
- PIGNATELLI, I., MUGNAIOLI, E., HYBLER, J., MOSSER-RUCK, R., CATLINEAU, M. & MICHAU, N. (2013): Clays and Clay Minerals, 61: 277–289.
- SZAKÁLL, S., ZAJZON, N. & KRISTÁLY, F. (2012): Acta Mineralogica-Petrographica, Abstract Series, 7: 134.